UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,732	07/12/2006	Toshio Hasegawa	293375US26PCT	6041
	22850 7590 03/10/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			NGUYEN, KHIEM D	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22514			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/585,732	HASEGAWA, TOSHIO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	KHIEM D. NGUYEN	2823
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	wn from consideration.	equirement.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2823

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

Invention I. Claims 1-18, 21, 24-27 and 30 drawn to a film formation method for

forming a metal nitride film.

inventions:

Invention II. Claims 33 and 35 drawn to a computer readable medium containing

software for a computer to control a film formation apparatus.

The inventions are independent or distinct because each invention requires a

separate search and each search is independent from the other. For example,

the computer readable medium containing software for a computer to control a

film formation apparatus, so as to form a dielectric layer instead.

2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed invention for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic

claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

The inventions are independent or distinct because as disclosed the

different inventions have mutually exclusive characteristics for each identified

invention. In addition, these inventions are not obvious variants of each other

based on the current record.

3. If Applicants elect either Invention I or Invention II, this application contains

claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Art Unit: 2823

## For Invention I

Species I, drawn to a formation method for forming a metal nitride film/(TiN film) (Claims 1-16).

Species II, drawn to a film formation method forming an initial metal nitride film having a first thickness and then forming thereon an additional metal nitride film having a second thickness (Claims 17, 18 and 21).

Species III, drawn to a film formation method for forming a metal nitride film wherein the metal nitride film is set to have a resistivity R calculated by formula (A) (Claims 24-27 and 30).

## For Invention II

Species I, drawn to a computer readable medium containing software for a computer to control a film formation apparatus, so as to form a metal nitride film (Claim 33).

Species II, drawn to a computer readable medium containing software for a computer to control a film formation apparatus, so as to form a metal nitride film wherein metal nitride film is set to have a resistivity R calculated by a following formula (A) (Claim 35).

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record. In addition, the species require distinct and separate search that is independent one from the other.

Art Unit: 2823

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required <u>because one or more of the following reasons apply</u>:

- (a) The prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to Another invention;
- (b) The inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their Different classification;
- (c) The inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their Recognized divergent subject matter;
- (d) The inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching Different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (e) The inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 5. The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement,

the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144.

- 6. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that cancellation of non-elected claims is required.

#### Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHIEM D. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-1865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30 AM - 5:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2823

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Khiem D. Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2823

/K. D. N./ March 03<sup>rd</sup>, 2009